

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspro.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/966,221	09/28/2001	Thomas S. Laubner	17655	5888
7	590 04/25/2003			
Tyco Technology Resources			EXAMINER	
Suite 450 4550 New Linden Hill Road			WIMER, MICHAEL C	
Wilmington, D	E 19808-2952		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2821	
		•	DATE MAILED: 04/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/966,221	LAUBNER ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael C. Wimer	2821				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address -	-			
THE REPLY FILED 18 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	evoid abandonment of this appl 1) a timely filed amendment wh	ication. A proper reply to sich places the application	in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date o	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meaning patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension the final Office action; or (2) as s	fee under set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);					
(c)	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplif	fying the			
(d) $oxed{oxed}$ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed ame	ndment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT pla	ce the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were nev	wly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			n			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: none.						
Claim(s) objected to: none.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.	•					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·				
10. Other:		W. 1/12				
		Michael C. Wimer Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2821	emed			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01) Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 009/966,221





Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The additional claims 24-36 recite subject matter neither considered nor addressed in the Final Office action rejection. The specific range of angles and gain values are new in the prosecution. A new search is required for the values now claimed, since there is a specific definition for "low angles" (e.g.,in Claim 1) These new limitations also require further consideration as to the beam direction produced by the antenna.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the limitation to "low angles" does not preclude the use of the Niehenke patent. No reference (e.g., zero degrees elevation) and specific angle relative to the reference is particulary specified in the claims. Applicant's arguments are directed to "the zenith", "to focus low angle radiation", etc., but the claims do not set forth any reference or setting of use for the antenna. All claims present a microstrip antenna and in combination with a lens without any mention of specifics to reference angle, a zenith, etc. Thus, the arguments are not commensurate with the scope of the claims at hand. A skilled artisan would find it obvious to use the Niehenke on a vehicle, as in a radar system or electronic countermeasures system and point it to the road or parallel thereto. The antenna would be responsive to "low angle" radiation, in such a scenario (particularly without any reference plane or angle being recited). Also, the term "encapsulate" does not necessarily imply that the lens must touch the patch. The lens may be spaced from the patch and still encapsulate the patch. Applicant's own embodiment, Fig. 4, shows an air gap 34 between patch 12 and lens. 20.